# EXPERIENCE DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON INSTRUCTOR PERSPECTIVES OF ONLINE VERSUS FACE-TO-FACE EDUCATION AT A JESUIT INSTITUTION

Lynn A. Fish and Coral R. Snodgrass

The BRC Academy Journal of Business 9, no. 1 (2019): 75–100.

http://dx.doi.org/10.15239/j.brcacadjb.2019.09.01.ja05

## WEB APPENDIX

# http://dx.doi.org/10.15239/j.brcacadjb.2019.09.01.wa05

Table 1. Pearson Correlation Factors

OL Instructors	Level	# Years	# Years	Online	Online	Self-
	Taught	Taught	Taught	Experience	Experience	reported
		University	Total	University	Other	Technology
						Level
Level Taught	1					
# Years Taught	289	1				
University	289	1				
# Years Taught Total	204	.751 *	1			
Online Experience	.066	298	038	1		
Online Experience	272	.295	.006	311 **	1	
Other	.272	.275	.000		L L	
Self-reported Tech.	.045	105	008	154	227	1

Level						
FTF Instructors	Level	# Years	# Years	Online	Online	Self-
	Taught	Taught	Taught	Experience	Experience	reported
		University	Total		other	Technology
						Level
Level Taught	1.0000					<u> </u>
# Years Taught						
University	120	1				
# Years Taught Total	120	.947 *	1			
Online Experience	.060	145	152	1		
Online Experience						
Other	144	017	030	004	1	
Self-reported Tech.						
Level	.261	250	116	179	263	1
Overall	Level	# Years	# Years	Online	Online	Self-
	Taught	Taught	Taught	Experience	Experience	reported
		University	Total		Other	Technology
						Level
Level Taught	1.0000					
# Years Taught	175	1				
University	175	1				
# Years Taught Total	121	.875 *	1			
Online Experience	257**	148	101	1		
Online Experience	281**	.133	020	.016	1	
Other	201	.133	020	.010		

Self-reported Tech.		0.62		0.1.1.4.4	0.0.0	
Level	.028	063	.144	.311**	099	1

\* Significant at .01 level (2-tailed) \*\* Significant at .05 level (2-tailed)

Table 2. OL Instructors by Level Taught

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	9.366	8	.312	072	134
Motivation	10.375	8	.240	029	.009
Interact between students	14.642	8	.066 **	.126	.102
Interact instructor-student	16.245	8	.039 *	046	083
Discipline	5.524	8	.700	.083	.037
Cheat	9.090	8	.169	079	107
Self-directed	8.178	8	.416	.082	.065
Independence	6.719	8	.567	037	060
Schedule flexibility	9.988	8	.266	.195	.174
Time investment	3.198	8	.921	.015	013
Cost investment	4.345	6	.630	241	222
Preference opposite?	3.179	4	.528	.006	018
Happiness with environment	6.051	8	.641	012	028
Appropriateness	5.763	4	.218	127	069

\* p≤.05 \*\* p≤.10

Table 3. FTF Instructors by Level Taught

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	1.56	4	.816	.059	.057
Motivation	6.029	3	.110	258	210
Interact between students	1.994	3	.574	149	181
Interact instructor-student	1.786	3	.618	027	013
Discipline	6.486	3	.090 **	.115	.161
Cheat	8.906	3	.031 *	221	158
Self-directed	1.454	4	.835	039	088
Independence	3.545	3	.315	134	154
Schedule flexibility	6.039	4	.196	204	176
Time investment	.568	3	.904	029	011
Cost investment	1.962	3	.580	.052	.036
Preference opposite?	.957	2	.620	150	153
Happiness with environment	.510	2	.775	029	006
Appropriateness	4.706	2	.095 **	132	170

\* p≤.05 \*\* p≤.10

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	94.645	88	.295	015	013
Motivation	77.258	88	.787	.128	.092
Interact between students	94.369	88	.302	.094	.112
Interact instructor-student	83.048	88	.629	.108	.122
Discipline	76.540	88	.803	.045	.046
Cheat	45.706	66	.973	.040	004
Self-directed	89.104	88	.447	.099	.113
Independence	96.742	88	.246	115	093
Schedule flexibility	94.235	88	.305	012	.016
Time investment	91.195	88	.387	.053	.021
Cost investment	57.960	66	.749	.080	.078
Preference opposite?	44.520	44	.450	020	030
Happiness with environment	82.949	88	.632	.026	.028
Appropriateness	47.334	44	.338	142	165

Table 4. OL Instructors by # of Years Taught at University

\* p≤.05 \*\* p≤.10

Table 5. FTF	Instructors by	# of Years	Taught at	University

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	79.249	84	.626	142	131
Motivation	62.874	60	.375	.276	.257
Interact between students	74.000	60	.106	.054	003
Interact instructor-student	50.741	40	.119	.052	.042
Discipline	50.920	60	.792	113	031
Cheat	63.20	60	.364	006	.054
Self-directed	82.337	84	.531	.106	.088
Independence	56.207	60	.615	.222	.188
Schedule flexibility	90.169	80	.205	117	132
Time investment	64.641	63	.419	075	.003
Cost investment	71.275	60	.151	279	222
Preference opposite?	51.831	42	.142	.045	.069
Happiness with environment	49.109	42	.210	.131	.119
Appropriateness	41.600	42	.488	191	162

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	109.359	96	.166	.077	.100
Motivation	86.726	96	.740	.209	.209
Interact between students	103.108	96	.292	079	090
Interact instructor-student	120.033	96	.049 *	.084	.098
Discipline	110.822	96	.143	.045	012
Cheat	95.127	72	.035 *	.069	.031
Self-directed	107.560	96	.197	.205	.214
Independence	122.349	96	.036 *	.110	.161
Schedule flexibility	87.458	96	.721	.121	.144
Time investment	97.784	96	.430	.095	.017
Cost investment	81.990	72	.197	.114	.130
Preference opposite?	41.948	48	.718	023	.020
Happiness with environment	103.357	96	.286	.061	.086
Appropriateness	49.330	48	.420	116	121

 Table 6. OL Instructors by # of Years Taught at Overall

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance	R	Correlation
			(2-sided)		
Difficulty	97.711	88	.225	110	075
Motivation	50.444	66	.922	.262	.233
Interact between students	60.588	66	.665	.013	053
Interact instructor-student	53.552	44	.153	.046	.000
Discipline	62.315	66	.606	154	171
Cheat	69.793	66	.351	.014	008
Self-directed	80.926	88	.691	.081	.054
Independence	61.229	66	.643	.210	.195
Schedule flexibility	91.725	88	.372	128	125
Time investment	74.429	66	.223	177	171
Cost investment	62.729	66	.591	221	145
Preference opposite?	39.081	44	.682	.050	.051
Happiness with environment	49.635	44	.259	.122	.083
Appropriateness	48.197	44	.307	156	127

Table 7. FTF Instructors by # of Years Taught at Overall

Table 8: OL versus Took an OL Course

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic Significance	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		(2-sided)	R	Correlation
Difficulty	4.026	4	.403	184	213
Motivation	2.453	4	.653	019	003
Interact between	3.676	4	.452	074	088
students					
Interact instructor-	3.778	4	.437	255	270
student					
Discipline	12.316	4	.015 *	379	430
Cheat	.195	3	.978	041	025
Self-directed	8.266	4	.082 **	.001	012
Independence	6.051	4	.195	051	111
Schedule flexibility	1.756	4	.781	.041	.015
Time investment	10.982	4	.027 *	180	275
Cost investment	2.372	3	.499	138	136
Preference opposite?	5.726	2	.057 **	.072	.094
Happiness with	6.179	4	.186	.021	.000
environment					
Appropriateness	.643	2	.725	119	105

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic Significance	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		(2-sided)	R	Correlation
Difficulty	2.388	4	.665	125	128
Motivation	7.110	3	.068 **	.181	.163
Interact between	4.031	3	.258	.083	.036
students					
Interact instructor-	6.313	3	.097 **	.269	.197
student					
Discipline	1.180	3	.758	.083	.070
Cheat	4.329	3	.228	.328	.321
Self-directed	2.234	4	.693	.088	.131
Independence	2.969	3	.398	.266	.262
Schedule flexibility	2.504	4	.644	.073	.082
Time investment	5.343	3	.148	.184	.188
Cost investment	1.128	3	.770	.146	.144
Preference opposite?	7.063	2	.029	.407	.372
Happiness with	.607	2	.738	.078	.057
environment					
Appropriateness	1.814	2	.404	.164	.179

Table 9. FTF versus Took an Online Course at University

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance (2-sided)	R	Correlation
Difficulty	2.995	4	.565	.196	.176
Motivation	5.869	4	.209	.022	.005
Interact between students	6.118	4	.190	.199	.221
Interact instructor- student	5.344	4	.254	.098	.129
Discipline	6.459	4	.167	.303	.271
Cheat	3.791	3	.285	039	010
Self-directed	.234	4	.994	020	007
Independence	3.739	4	.443	.041	002
Schedule flexibility	5.015	4	.286	328	323
Time investment	7.519	4	.111	.215	.184
Cost investment	2.280	3	.516	.052	.088
Preference opposite?	1.408	2	.495	181	183
Happiness with environment	2.516	4	.642	013	023
Appropriateness	1.592	2	.451	134	166

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance (2-sided)	R	Correlation
Difficulty	4.988	4	.289	.000	.012
Motivation	1.130	3	.770	145	150
Interact between	3.280	3	.350	135	082
students					
Interact instructor-	2.486	3	.478	096	042
student					
Discipline	2.587	3	.460	096	081
Cheat	.980	3	.806	080	072
Self-directed	.377	4	.984	059	036
Independence	1.816	3	.611	.189	.189
Schedule flexibility	.310	4	.989	.007	.014
Time investment	2.623	3	.453	.192	.187
Cost investment	2.190	3	.534	.212	.216
Preference opposite?	7.112	2	.029 *	.355	.288
Happiness with	1.378	2	.502	.024	014
environment					
Appropriateness	3.378	2	.185	.022	.057

Table 11. FTF versus Took OL Course Elsewhere

Metric	Pearson Chi-	Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
	Square Value		Significance (2-sided)	R	Correlation
Difficulty	9.018	8	.341	.023	.076
Motivation	7.038	8	.533	.083	.064
Interact between students	6.641	8	.576	.152	.161
Interact instructor- student	8.438	8	.392	.249	.253
Discipline	10.231	8	.249	.053	.094
Cheat	8.925	6	.178	.264	.310
Self-directed	15.303	8	.054 **	.342	.387
Independence	13.555	8	.094 **	.296	.348
Schedule flexibility	10.310	8	.244	.204	.273
Time investment	8.167	8	.417	.101	.185
Cost investment	7.211	6	.302	094	056
Preference opposite?	8.425	4	.077 **	.418	.409
Happiness with environment	13.914	8	.084 **	.357	.390
Appropriateness	4.842	4	.304	331	313

Table 12. OL Instructors by Self-reported Technology Level

n Chi- Df	Asymptotic	Pearson's	Spearman
Value	Significance (2-sided)	R	Correlation
99 12	.327	.119	.135
05 9	.334	246	225
53 9	.725	283	237
17 9	.718	039	026
44 9	.170	.101	.127
75 9	.791	195	186
10 12	.520	081	152
13 9	.030 *	348	337
45 12	.189	325	305
66 9	.104	099	076
56 9	.423	.272	.242
51 6	.764	266	272
65 6	.813	159	121
6	.015 *	280	273
	45     12       66     9       56     9       51     6       65     6	45       12       .189         66       9       .104         56       9       .423         51       6       .764         65       6       .813	45       12       .189      325         66       9       .104      099         56       9       .423       .272         51       6       .764      266         65       6       .813      159

Table 13. FTF Instructors by Self-reported Technology Level

<u>Please note</u>: The coding is the number in parentheses following the response and will not be viewed by

the participant.

- Q1. What school do you teach in?
  - o School of Arts and Sciences (1)
  - School of Education and Human Services (2)
  - School of Business (3)

### Q2. How old are you?

- o Less than 26(1)
- o 26-30 (2)
- o 31-35 (3)
- o 36-40 (4)
- o 41-45 (5)
- o 46-50 (6)
- o 51-55 (7)
- o 56-60 (8)
- o 61-65 (9)
- o 66-70 (10)
- o 71 or older (11)

#### Q3. Are you:

- o Male (1)
- o Female (2)

- Q4. What is your rank at the University?
  - o Lecturer
  - o Assistant Professor
  - o Associate Professor
  - o Professor
  - o Other

Q5. Do you teach undergraduate courses only, graduate courses only or both levels?

- Undergraduate courses only (1)
- Graduate courses only (2)
- Both undergraduate and graduate courses (3)
- Q6. What is your highest education level?
  - o Associates
  - o Bachelors
  - o Masters
  - o Doctorate
  - o Post-Doctorate
- Q7. Would you classify your technological abilities as:
  - Do not use technology in the classroom or teaching at all.
  - o Beginner/Novice
  - o Advanced Beginner (eg. Use some Desire2Learn features, Microsoft Office)
  - Intermediate (e.g. use many Desire2Learn features in classes, Microsoft Office, other computer applications)
  - o Superior (Extensive knowledge and use of various computer capabilities in the classroom)

- Q8. How many years have you taught at the University?
- Q9. How many years have you taught at the university level (any school)?
- Q10. Have you ever taken an online course at the University?
  - Yes (1)
  - o No (2)
- Q11. Have you ever taken an online course at a school other than the University?
  - o Yes (1)
  - o No (2)
- Q12. Have you taught an online course at the University?
  - o Yes (1)
  - o No (2)

<u>Section A:</u> Participants with online teaching experience are directed to the following questions, while participants with no online teaching experience are direct to Section B.

With respect to <u>teaching online</u> courses at the University compared to face-to-face (traditional classrooms), please rate the following responses:

Q13. How many online courses have you taught at the University?

Q14. Have you taught an online course at a school other than the University?

- o Yes (1)
- o No (2)
- Q15. How many online courses have you taught at a school other than the University?
- Q16. Prior to teaching an online course, did you take a course to prepare you for the online environment?
  - Yes (1)
  - o No (2)
- Q17. If yes, was the course offered by the University or the book publisher or other method?
  - o University (1)
  - o Book publisher (2)
  - o Other (3)

Q18. I perceive online courses to be \_\_\_\_\_ in difficulty than face-to-face courses.

- Significantly easier (1)
- o Easier (2)
- The Same Difficulty (3)
- o Harder (4)
- Significantly Harder (5)

Q19. I am \_\_\_\_\_ motivated in online courses than face-to-face courses.

o Significantly less (1)

- o Less (2)
- o Equally (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

Q20. I \_\_\_\_\_ the interaction with students in the online environment compared to the face-to-face course environment.

- o Significantly dislike (1)
- o Dislike (2)
- o Equate (3)
- o Like (4)
- Significantly like (5)

Q21. I find the discipline required in taking online courses to be \_\_\_\_\_ than in face-to-face courses.

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)
- Q22. I enjoy the schedule flexibility associated with the online learning environment \_\_\_\_\_ than the interaction in face-to-face courses.
  - o Significantly less (1)
  - o Less (2)
  - o The Same (3)
  - o More (4)

• Significantly more (5)

- Q23. I find online courses require \_\_\_\_\_ time investment in the course than face-to-face courses.
  - o Significantly less (1)
  - o Less (2)
  - o The Same (3)
  - o More (4)
  - Significantly more (5)
- Q24. I find online courses total costs are \_\_\_\_\_ than face-to-face courses.
  - o Significantly less (1)
  - o Less (2)
  - o The Same (3)
  - o More (4)
  - o Significantly More (5)
- Q25. I perceive that it is \_\_\_\_\_ for students to cheat in the online environment than in face-to-face courses.
  - Significantly easier (1)
  - o Easier (2)
  - The Same (3)
  - o Harder (4)
  - Significantly harder (5)

Q26. I enjoy the self-directed online learning environment \_\_\_\_\_ than the interaction in face-to-face courses.

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)
- Q27. I enjoy the independence associated with the online learning environment \_\_\_\_\_ than the interaction in face-to-face courses.
  - o Significantly less (1)
  - o Less (2)
  - o The Same (3)
  - o More (4)
  - Significantly more (5)

- Q28. In the online environment, I feel these activities increase my students' understanding of the course material. (Check all that apply.)
  - Discussion boards (1)
  - In-class sessions (2)

- Additional Reading (3)
- o Homework (4)
- o Videos (5)
- Instructor lectures (6)
- o Instructor chat (7)
- o Other students (8)
- o Problem Scaffolding & Hints (9)
- o Textbook (10)
- Instructor Posted Notes (11)
- o Surveys (12)
- Q29. In the online environment, I feel these activities decrease my students' understanding of the course material. (Check all that apply.)
  - o Discussion boards (1)
  - In-class sessions (2)
  - Reading (3)
  - o Homework (4)
  - o Videos (5)
  - o Instructor lectures (6)
  - o Instructor chat (7)
  - o Other students (8)
  - Problem Scaffolding & Hints (9)
  - o Textbook (10)
  - o Instructor Posted Notes (11)
  - o Surveys (12)

Q30. Would you prefer to teach the class in a traditional face-to-face environment?

• Yes (1)

- o Undecided (2)
- o No (3)

Q31. I am \_\_\_\_\_ with the online course environment for learning.

- Not very happy (1)
- Not happy (2)
- o Okay (3)
- o Happy (4)
- Very happy (5)

Q32. Given this institution, do you think online courses are appropriate?

- Yes (1)
- o Undecided (2)
- o No (3)
- Q33. Why did you choose to teach the course online?

Section B: Participants with no online teaching experience are directed to the following questions,

while participants with online teaching experience are direct to Section A.

With respect to <u>teaching</u> face-to-face courses (traditional) at the University compared to teaching online, please rate the following responses:

- Q34. I perceive face-to-face courses to be \_\_\_\_\_ in difficulty than online courses.
  - Significantly Easier (1)
  - o Easier (2)
  - The Same Difficulty (3)
  - o Harder (4)
  - Significantly Harder (5)
- Q35. I would be \_\_\_\_\_ motivated in face-to-face courses than online courses.
  - o Significantly less (1)
  - o Less (2)
  - o Equally (3)
  - o More (4)
  - Significantly more (5)
- Q36. I perceive the interaction with other students in the face-to-face environment to be \_\_\_\_\_ compared to the online course environment.
  - Significantly worse (1)
  - o Worse (2)
  - o Equal (3)
  - o Better (4)
  - Significantly better (5)

- Q37. I perceive the interaction with the instructor in the face-to-face environment to be \_\_\_\_\_ compared to the online course environment.
  - Significantly worse (1)
  - o Worse (2)
  - o Equal (3)
  - o Better (4)
  - Significantly better (5)

Q38 I perceive the discipline required in taking face-to-face courses to be \_\_\_\_\_ than in online courses.

- Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

Q39. I perceive that it would be \_\_\_\_\_\_ to cheat in the face-to-face environment than in online courses.

- Significantly easier (1)
- o Easier (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o Harder (4)
- Significantly harder (5)
- Q40 I believe that I would enjoy the self-directed face-to-face learning environment \_\_\_\_\_ than the interaction in online courses.
  - Significantly less (1)

- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

Q42. I believe that I would enjoy the schedule flexibility associated with the face-to-face learning environment \_\_\_\_\_ than in online courses.

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- o Significantly more (5)

Q43. I believe that face-to-face courses require \_\_\_\_\_ time investment in the course than online courses.

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

Q44. I believe face-to-face courses total costs are \_\_\_\_\_ than online courses.

- o Significantly less (1)
- o Less (2)
- o The Same (3)
- o More (4)
- Significantly more (5)

Q45. In the face-to-face environment, I feel these activities increase my students' understanding of the course material. (Check all that apply.)

- Discussion boards (1)
- In-class sessions (2)
- Additional Reading (3)
- o Homework (4)
- o Videos (5)
- o Instructor lectures (6)
- o Instructor chat (7)
- o Other students (8)
- o Problem Scaffolding & Hints (9)
- o Textbook (10)

- o Instructor Posted Notes (11)
- o Surveys (12)
- Q46 In the face-to-face environment, I feel these activities decrease the students' understanding of the course material. (Check all that apply.)
  - o Discussion boards (1)
  - o In-class sessions (2)
  - Additional Reading (3)
  - o Homework (4)
  - o Videos (5)
  - Instructor lectures (6)
  - o Instructor chat (7)
  - o Other students (8)
  - Problem Scaffolding & Hints (9)
  - o Textbook (10)
  - Instructor Posted Notes (11)
  - o Surveys (12)
- Q47 Would you prefer to teach the class in an online environment?
  - $\circ$  Yes (1)
  - o Undecided (2)
  - o No (3)

Q48. If not, why not?

Q49. I am \_\_\_\_\_ with the face-to-face environment for learning.

- Not very happy (1)
- Not happy (2)
- o Okay (3)
- o Happy (4)
- Very happy (5)

Q50. Given this institution, do you think online courses are appropriate?

- Yes (1)
- o Undecided (2)
- o No (3)